

## 2010-2011 AISD Parent Survey Kiker Elementary School

Strong relationships among staff, students, and parents are critical to student success. In particular, parent involvement in education is associated with a high level of student achievement (for a meta-analysis see Fan & Chen, 2001). These survey results provide campuses with feedback on how parents feel about their treatment by school staff, the school's educational environment, and how parents describe their involvement in their child's education. The following report contains the results of the 2010-2011 Parent Survey for Austin Independent School District (AISD); longitudinal data are provided where applicable.

## **Kiker Elementary School Demographic Information**

Table 1. Number of respondents for Kiker				Table 2. Distribution of respondents relative to Kiker's population, 2010-2011			
	Kiker	All Elementary Schools	Grade	% of respondents	% school population		
# of surveys returned	187	16,968	РК	3	3		
# of students	933	49,087	К	19	15		
% of students represent	ed 20%	35%	1st	16	18		
			2nd	17	17		
Table 3. Distribution or ethnicity and r	f respondents a ace for Kiker, 20	•	3rd	9	17		
	% of	% school	4th	23	16		
	respondents	population	5th	13	14		
Hispanic/Latino	19	17	6th	0	n/a		
American Indian/ Alaskan Native	3	4		leral Standards for Col eporting Ethnicity and	-		
Asian	13	14	-	2010-2011, districts we ace and ethnicity using	-		
Black/African American	2	3		standards. The new standards require a person to first select his/her ethnicity			
Native Hawaiian/ Other Pacific Islander	0	1	one <u>or mo</u>	Latino or non-Hispanic/ <u>re</u> of five race values. S	since more		
White	81	86		ace value may be chose es might not add to 100			

Note. Students' grade and ethnicity were self-reported. Population data reflect enrollment as of the October 2010 PEIMS snapshot date.

Lisa Schmitt, Ph.D. Natalia Ibanez, M.Ed. DRE Publication No. 10.63 A summary of Kiker Elementary School survey results for the 2010-2011 school year is presented in figure 1. Scores over 3.0 are desirable, as indicated by the green line. Also, please note the green and red boxes that highlight the specific area in which Kiker most excels, as well as the area in which Kiker can improve most.




Figure 1. Parent Survey subscales for Kiker Elementary School and all AISD Elementary Schools, 2010-2011

*Note.* In addition to the subscales reflected in figure 1, parents responded to items regarding the Superintendent and Central Office Staff. For more information, see page 8.

## **Item Results**




Figure 2. Average rating for the item: "I believe that my child likes to go to school."

Respectful School Community	2008-09	Kiker 2009-10	2010-11	All Elementary Schools 2010-11
4. School staff provide me with positive feedback about	3.6↓	3.7	3.7	3.5
my child.				
5. School staff treat my child with courtesy and respect.	3.6↓	3 <b>.8</b> ↑	3.8	3.5
6. I feel welcome in my child's classroom.	3.7	3.8↑	3.8	3.6
16. My child's school is a safe learning environment.	3.7↓	3.8↑	3.8	3.5
22a. My child's school principal treats me with courtesy	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5
and respect.				
23a. My child's school assistant principal(s) treat me with	3.6	3.7个	3.7	3.5
courtesy and respect.				
24a. My child's teacher(s) treat me with courtesy and	3.7↓	3 <b>.8</b> ↑	3.9	3.7
respect.				
25a. My child's counselor(s) treat me with courtesy and	3.6↓	3.8↑	3.8	3.5
respect.				
26. Office staff treat me with courtesy and respect.	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5
28h. School staff provide me with enough information	<b>3.</b> 4 <b>个</b>	<b>3.6</b> ↑	3.5	3.3
about handling complaints and concerns.				
Respectful School Community Average	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.5

Note. Response options for the above items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0. Meaningful change from year to year is indicated by a  $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ , and is defined as a Cohen's D effect size of  $\geq$  .18.

Information About Expectations and Progress	2008-09	Kiker 2009-10	2010-11	All Elementary Schools 2010-11
My child's school staff clearly communicate their				
expectations for				
8. My child's learning	3.6↓	3.6	3.7	3.5
9. My child's behavior	3.6↓	3.7	3.7	3.6
School staff provide me with enough information				
about my child's				
27a. Academic progress	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.5
27b. Preparedness for TAKS and other assessments	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5
27c. Risk of failing a grade	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4
27d. Availability of tutoring	3.3	3.4个	3.4	3.4
28a. Behavior	3.6↓	3.7	3.7	3.6
28b. Attendance	n/a	3.7	3.7	3.6
Expectations and Progress Average	n/a	3.6	3.7	3.5

Note. Response options for the above items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0. Meaningful change from year to year is indicated by a  $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ , and is defined as a Cohen's D effect size of  $\geq$  .18.

Academic Planning Information	2008-09	Kiker 2009-10	2010-11	All Elementary Schools 2010-11
School staff provide me with enough				
information about				
27e. High school graduation requirements.	3.2	3.4 🔨	3.4	3.3
28c. After school programs.	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.5
28d. Transitions to and from elementary, middle, and high	3.4	3.6 个	3.6	3.4
school.				
28e. Career opportunities for my child.	3.4个	3.8 个	3.5	3.3
28f. College admission requirements for financing options.	n/a	3.7	3.4 ↓	3.3
Academic Planning Information Average	n/a	3.6	3.6	3.4

Note. Response options for the above items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0. Meaningful change from year to year is indicated by a  $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ , and is defined as a Cohen's D effect size of  $\geq$  .18.

Teacher Expectations	Kiker		All Elementary
	2009-10	2010-11	Schools 2010-11
11. My child's teachers believe my child can do well in school.	3.8	3.8	3.6
12. My child's teachers believe my child can learn new things.	3.8	3.8	3.7
13. My child's teachers encourage my child to stick with problems	3.7	3.7	3.6
until he/she can solve them.			
Teacher Expectations Average	3.8	3.8	3.6

Note. Response options for the above items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0. Meaningful change from year to year is indicated by a  $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ , and is defined as a Cohen's D effect size of  $\geq$  .18.

Support for Parental Involvement	2008-09	Kiker 2009-10	2010-11	All Elementary Schools 2010-11
7. My child's school staff use the suggestions that I make	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.4
about my child's education.				
14. My child's teachers make it easy to be involved with	n/a	3.7	3.7	3.5
my child's education.				
15. AISD's online Parent Connection/Gradespeed system	n/a	3.5	3.5	3.3
has helped me monitor my child's progress.*				
22b. My child's principal provides me with opportunities	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.5
for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings,				
email, etc.).				
23b. My child's assistant principal(s) provide me with	3.5	3 <b>.</b> 7 <b>↑</b>	3.7	3.5
opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls,				
meetings, email, etc.).				
24b. My child's teacher(s) have helped me become more	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6
involved in my child's education.			3.8	- (
24c. My child's teacher(s) value my input in academic	3.7	3.7	5.0	3.6
decisions about my child.		- •		
24d. My child's teacher(s) provide me with opportunities	3.7↓	3.8 个	3.8 ↓	3.6
for 2-way communication (phone calls, meetings,				
email, etc.).				
25b. My child's counselor(s) have helped me become	3.5	3.6 个	3.6	3.4
more involved in my child's education.				
25c. My child's counselor(s) value my input in decisions	3.5	3.7 🛧	3.7	3.4
about my child.				
25d. My child's counselor(s) provide me with	3.5	3.6 个	3.7	3.4
opportunities for 2-way communication (phone calls,				
meetings, email, etc.).				
28g. School staff provide me with opportunities to be	n/a	3.7	3.7	3.4
involved.				
Support for Parental Involvement Average	n/a	3.7	3.7	3.5

Note. Response options for the above items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0. Meaningful change from year to year is indicated by a  $\uparrow$  or  $\checkmark$ , and is defined as a Cohen's D effect size of  $\ge$  .18.

\*Item 15 was not used in the subscale calculation.

Parental Assistance, Communication, and School Involvement	Kil	ker	All Elementary
	2009-10	2010-11	Schools 2010-11
17. My child has a place at home for books and school materials.	n/a	3.8	3.6
Please tell us how often you engage in the following activities with			
your child:			
29. Talk with my child about his/her school day.	4	4	3.9
30. Supervise my child's homework.	4	3.8↓	3.8
31. Help my child study for tests.	3.7	3.7	3.6
32. Talk with other parents about my child's school.	3.5	3.5	3.0
33. Communicate with my child's teachers (e.g., telephone, email,	3.7	3.5	3.4
notes, in person).			
34. Volunteer at my child's school.	3.1	3.1	2.5
35. Attend PTSA/PTA/CAC meetings.	2.2	2.1	2.5
36. Attend regularly scheduled parent-teacher conferences.	3.9	3.9	3.7
37. Attend annual meetings about my child's academic plans.	3.5	3.6	3.3
38. Visit my child's school (e.g., for lunch, walk him/her to class, to	3.4	3.4	3.2
observe).			
39. Attend performance events and/or sports events at my child's	3.5	3.5	3.2
school.			
40. Take my child places to learn (e.g., library, museum, zoo,	n/a	3.6	3.3
historical site, live performance, art gallery).			
41. Play board games/puzzles or sports together with my child.	n/a	3.7	3.4
42. Work on projects with my child (i.e., building, making, or fixing	n/a	3.7	3.5
something).			
43. Discuss with my child how to manage his/her time.	n/a	3.7	3.6
Parental Assistance, Communication, and School Involvement			
Average	n/a	3.6	3.4

Note. Response options for the above subscales range from 1 (never) to 4 (often), except for item #17 which was rated on a scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0. Meaningful change from year to year is indicated by a  $\uparrow$  or  $\downarrow$ , and is defined as a Cohen's D effect size of  $\ge$  .18.

Superintendent Ratings	Kiker 2010-11	All Elementary Schools 2010-11
21a. The superintendent has made a positive impact on the district's academic	3.0	3.2
programs.		
21b. The superintendent does a good job of asking for input from parents.	3.1	3.2
21c. The superintendent does a good job of communicating with parents.	3.2	3.2
Superintendent Ratings Average	3.1	3.2

Note. Response options for the above items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

These items were new to the 2010-2011 parent survey.

Central Office Accessibility	Kiker 2010-11	All Elementary Schools 2010-11
18. District staff are responsive to parents.	3.5	3.3
19. I know who to contact if I have a question or concern about my child's	3.7	3.5
education.		
20. Central Office staff treat me with courtesy and respect.	3.6	3.4

Note. Response options for the above items range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 4 (strongly agree). It is desirable to have a response of at least 3.0.

These items were new to the 2010-2011 parent survey.

## References

Fan, X. & Chen, M. (2001). Parental involvement and students' academic achievement: A meta-analysis.

Educational Psychology Review, 13(1), 1-22.